

|    | Item   | Action   |
|----|--|--|
| 1  | <b>Land north of Highfields</b>  |  |
| 1a | Enhancing the Roman Road footpath to highlight its history and encourage its use | <p>Engineering Feasibility quote received from Sustrans 9/4/19. The Working Group has concern about preserving the Roman heritage of the path. <b>Agreed</b> (1) that the Clerk contacts Sustrans for an indication of what the envisage the final path to be and an offer to meet Cllr Hopkins on site; (2) Cllr Hopkins contacts Roman Bath for more information of how they might help preserve and enhance the footpath. 16/5/19 Path Preservation Trust responded to RH: My suggestion is that any future work is based first on a thorough understanding of the heritage asset. I would recommend contacting Cotswold Archaeology or Wessex Archaeology to undertake a heritage assessment.</p> <p>Kind regards<br/>Joanna</p> <p><b>JOANNA ROBINSON</b><br/><b>SENIOR CONSERVATION OFFICER</b></p> <p>Response from Sustrans 30/5/19: Following our phone conversation this morning, I can confirm that our approach is collaborative design, which means that we will work closely with stakeholders including yourselves, to create a solution that works for all and respects the historic setting of the Roman Road.</p> <p>We will need to be mindful of the types of trips being made and the needs of all users, including wheelchairs, pushchairs, mobility vehicles and bicycles. If we are appointed, we will produce a set of recommendations with a range of options which you can choose</p> |

|          |   |   |
|----------|---|---|
|          |   | <p>from.</p> <p>Kind regards,</p> <p><b>Simon Pratt</b></p>   |
| <b>2</b> | <b>Waterside Valley</b>   |   |
| 2a       | The Haydon to Westfield right of way  | Cllr Fuller attended a meeting in March 2019 with Radstock TC regarding their purchase of the batch. Radstock TC is enhancing and funding the path with a biodegradable dog bin.  |
| 2b       | Cycle route through the Waterside Valley from Radstock to the Industrial Estate | Engineering feasibility study quote received from Sustrans 9/4/19. <b>Agreed</b> not to pursue this on the advice of the Rights of Way Officer who raised concern about land slides and natural springs.  |
| 2c       | Enhancement of Snails Brook   | <b>Agreed</b> that Cllr Hopkins pursues this via Big Local.   |
| <b>3</b> | <b>Shakespeare Road area</b>  |   |
| 3a       | Green Space at Shakespeare Road   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Look at making this large green space accessible for all ages and abilities. Cllr Fuller and Cindy Carter met on site. Cindy circulated a project plan. <b>Agreed</b> to recommend the proposals to Parish Council as outlined in the report at Appendix 2.</li> <li>• Input in the BANES Green Infrastructure strategy highlighted as important for the long term benefit of the area in attracting CIL funding.</li> </ul> |
| 3b       | Creating a nature trail joining Westfield to the Midsomer Norton Town Park      | <p>As above.</p> <p>The importance of managing the land to make it accessible but to stop moped access. BS8300:2018 outlines how this might be arranged.</p>  |
| 3c       | Pit Path at Shakespeare Road to Midsomer Norton                                 | Look at the costing scheme drawn up by BANES for fully lighting this path. The cost of the work is approximately £28k plus a commuted sum (amount to be considered) to cover future maintenance. Design scheme requested of Alison Sherwin 5/3/19. <b>Agreed</b> the Clerk arranges a meeting with the Highways Officer Stefan Chiffers and members of the working group including the PC Mark Graham for a police perspective.                                       |

|  |  |   |
|--|--|---|
|  |  | <p>Hi Lesley. I have referred this to Graeme Stark who will advise on the diversion proposal. If it is possible it will cost in the region of £3.5k Unfortunately I have to clear the lower path being the definitive.<br/>Sheila _____</p> <p>Dear Sheila</p> <p>Please may we ask your advice on the possibility and pros and cons of re-directing the public right of way on the lower pit path to the upper path? The Westfield Green and Open Spaces Working Group is looking at using s.106 funds to improve accessibility of the upper path of the Pit Path – this being, as you know, the major route between Midsomer Norton and Westfield for pedestrians and cyclists.</p> <p>One of the cons I expect would be the time and cost in doing so. If you might elaborate on that further it would be helpful. I am preparing a bid for funding to improve accessibility of the upper path particularly in terms of lighting. I attach a map which shows some of the ideas. If it is beneficial for the PROW to be moved to support the fact that the upper path is the one which is used, then perhaps I could include the cost of doing so in the bid.</p> <p>Alison Sherwin has confirmed that due to budget cuts cycle routes are not being cut back until they are reported individually for emergency work. Every year the upper pit path gets overgrown with nettles and reaches the point of being impassable before it gets cut back. Is the lower pit path, as a PROW on a maintenance schedule? If so, if the PROW were re-routed to the upper path, would the maintenance move with it? In this time of budget constraints it seems like a waste to have the cost of maintaining two parallel paths.</p> <p>If there are any other issues in this which we ought to be aware from a rights of way perspective we would welcome your views.</p> |
|--|--|---|

|    |   |  |
|----|---|--|
|    |   |  |
| 3d | Play area at Shakespeare Road                         | <p>BANES has approved £70k s.106 funding and produced the spec. 13/5/19: There was one response to the consultation of 24 residents at Shakespeare Road, equating to a 4% response rate. This is the response:</p> <p>We feel this larger play park would encourage a lot more teenagers as we already get more than our share of teenagers in the park in the evenings. Has your council ever come around weekend evenings to see what we have to put up with. Drink and drugs and noise.</p>   |
| 3e | Flytipping at the Pit Path                            | Photos sent to Fix My Street 7/5/19 with a request for clearance.  |
| 4  | <b>Westhill Recreation Ground</b>                     |  |
| 4a | Stand alone pavilion                                  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Cindy had a conversation with BANES planners to highlight any planning issues in March 2019.</li> <li>• To apply for funding for a feasibility study</li> <li>• A quote for the feasibility study has not been agreed by PC.</li> <li>• Clerk has contacted LCC for further assistance April 2019. This was offered by LCC at £325 per day, the value of which was uncertain, and therefore not pursued.</li> </ul> <p><b>Agreed</b> that the Clerk goes back out to tender. Clerk went out to tender again on 8/5/19 with a 7/6/19 deadline for tenders, to be assessed on 10/6/19 by three Councillors.</p> |
| 4b | Running Track and equipment next to the running track | <p>This has been highlighted as a possible area for funding via BANES Naturally Active Somer Valley Project which is in the Discovery phase of its bid as part of a National Childhood Obesity Trailblazers project.</p> <p><b>Agreed</b> (1) Cindy Carter to find out more about the Odd Down running track and fitness equipment and take photos of the Peasedown track; (2) Cllr Hopkins to find out more about the Poole Harbour equipment; (3) that this is recommended as a priority to Parish Council.</p>  |
| 5  | <b>Norton Hill Recreation Ground</b>                  |  |
| 5a | Replacement of benches by the boules pitch            | Due to the ongoing vandalism of the wooden benches, to look at   |

|    |  |  |
|----|--|--|
|    |  | replacing them with those similar to the new ones at Westhill. A brochure from Theme Bins was viewed for benches and picnic tables in the same style and range as the new ones at Westhill Recreation Ground. <b>Agreed</b> to meet on site with a map to plot the best location of the benches and seats for funding via the s.106 funds and to recommend to Parish Council that this is progressed.  |
| 5b | Community Picnic area – benches/ tables for families and people of all ages and abilities. | Look at vandal proof, accessible benches and tables, considering also the ground underfoot. <b>As above.</b>   |
| 5c | Closing off the cut through to the industrial estate                                       | Check the planning requirements of a cycle path which might enter the site at this point: <b>Entrance to Norton Hill Recreation Ground from the industrial estate - Under the terms of the section 106 agreement, no more than 46 properties can be occupied before the cycle path is built and brought into use. It is expected that it will convert into a date towards the end of next year by the time they get that far in terms of construction and sales. Map attached. (Page 13)</b> |
| 6  | <b>Allotments</b>  |  |
| 6a | Larch Court Allotment  | Land transfer complete. Work started to create the allotment   |
| 6b | Consideration of the fact that there remains a lengthy waiting list for allotments.        | To consider any other sites for new allotments. <b>Prattens Committee is considering the potential of land on its site for allotments.</b>   |